

Bonnesen-type inequalities for surfaces of constant curvature

Daniel A. Klain¹

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Massachusetts Lowell
Lowell, MA 01854 USA
dklain@cs.uml.edu

Abstract A *Bonnesen-type* inequality is a sharp isoperimetric inequality that includes an error estimate in terms of inscribed and circumscribed regions. A kinematic technique is used to prove a Bonnesen-type inequality for the Euclidean sphere (having constant Gauss curvature $\kappa > 0$) and the hyperbolic plane (having constant Gauss curvature $\kappa < 0$). These generalized inequalities each converge to the classical Bonnesen-type inequality for the Euclidean plane as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$.

Introduction

A *Bonnesen-type* inequality is a sharp isoperimetric inequality that includes an error estimate in terms of inscribed and circumscribed regions. The classical example runs as follows:

Suppose that K is a compact convex set in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Denote by A_K and P_K the area and perimeter of K respectively. Let R_K denote the circumradius of K , and let r_K denote the inradius of K . Then

$$P_K^2 - 4\pi A_K \geq \pi^2(R_K - r_K)^2. \quad (1)$$

The classical isoperimetric inequality immediately follows, namely,

$$P_K^2 - 4\pi A_K \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

with equality if and only if $R_K = r_K$, that is, if and only if K is a Euclidean disc. Proofs of these inequalities, along with variations and generalizations, can be found in any of [Bon24, San76, Oss79], for example.

In this note the kinematic methods of Santaló and Hadwiger are used to prove Bonnesen-type inequalities for the Euclidean sphere (having constant Gauss curvature $\kappa > 0$) and the hyperbolic plane (having constant Gauss curvature $\kappa < 0$). Section 1 outlines necessary background material from integral geometry. In Section 2 we derive the first of the two main theorems in this article, a Bonnesen-type inequality for the sphere, stated in Theorem 2.1. The second main theorem of this article, Theorem 3.1, is a Bonnesen-type inequality for the hyperbolic plane, derived in Section 3. The limiting case as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ in either of Theorems 2.1 and 3.3 yields the classical Bonnesen inequality (1), as described above. A brief and direct proof of (1) using kinematic arguments, also described in [San76], is presented at the close of Section 1 as a contrast to those of the subsequent sections.

¹Research supported in part by NSF grant #DMS-9803571.

1 Background: Integral geometry of surfaces

Denote by $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$ the surface of constant curvature κ , specifically:

$$\mathbb{X}_\kappa = \begin{cases} \text{Euclidean 2-sphere of radius } 1/\sqrt{\kappa} & \text{if } \kappa > 0 \\ \text{Euclidean plane } \mathbb{R}^2 & \text{if } \kappa = 0 \\ \text{Hyperbolic plane of constant curvature } \kappa & \text{if } \kappa < 0 \end{cases}$$

A compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{X}_\kappa$ is a *convex polygon* if P can be expressed a finite intersection of closed half-planes (or closed hemi-spheres in the case of $\kappa > 0$, with the added requirement that P lie in inside an open hemisphere). A *polygon* is a finite union of convex polygons. More generally, a set $K \subseteq \mathbb{X}_\kappa$ will be called *convex* if any two points of K can be connected by a line segment inside K , where the notion of line segment is again suitably defined for each context (spherical, Euclidean, hyperbolic). For $\kappa > 0$, a convex set is again required to lie inside an open hemisphere. Denote by $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X}_\kappa)$ the set of all *compact* convex sets in $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$.

For $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X}_\kappa)$, denote by A_K the *area* of K , and denote by P_K the *perimeter* of K . If $\dim K = 1$ then P_K is equal to *twice* the length of K . (This assures that perimeter P is continuous in the Hausdorff topology on compact sets in $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$.)

If K is a finite union of compact convex sets in $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$, denote by χ_K the *Euler characteristic* of K . If K is a compact convex set, then $\chi_K = 1$ whenever K is nonempty, while $\chi_\emptyset = 0$. More generally, χ extends to all finite unions of compact convex sets via iteration of the inclusion-exclusion identity:

$$\chi_{K \cup L} + \chi_{K \cap L} = \chi_K + \chi_L.$$

Our primary tool for studying inequalities will be the *principal kinematic formula* [San76, p. 321] for compact convex sets in $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$.

Theorem 1.1 (Principal Kinematic Formula for $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$) *For all finite unions K and L of compact convex sets in $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$,*

$$\int_g \chi_{K \cap gL} dg = \chi_K A_L + \frac{1}{2\pi} P_K P_L + A_K \chi_L - \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} A_K A_L. \quad (3)$$

The integral on the left-hand side of (3) is taken with respect to area on $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$ and the invariant Haar probability measure on the group G_0 of isometries of $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$ which fix a base point $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_\kappa$. To define this more precisely, denote by t_x the unique translation of $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$ (or minimal rotation, in the case of $\kappa > 0$) that maps x_0 to a point $x \in \mathbb{X}_\kappa$. Then define

$$\int_g \chi_{K \cap gL} dg = \int_{x \in \mathbb{X}_\kappa} \int_{\gamma \in G_0} \chi_{K \cap t_x(\gamma L)} d\gamma dx, \quad (4)$$

where we use the probabilistic normalization

$$\int_{\gamma \in G_0} d\gamma = 1.$$

The classical proof of Theorem 1.1 can be found in [San76]. For a valuation-based proof of Theorem 1.1, see [KR97] (for the Euclidean and spherical cases) and [Kla03] (for the hyperbolic plane). Surveys and other recent work on kinematic formulas in convex, integral, and Riemannian geometry and their applications include [Fu90, How93, KR97, San76, SW93, Zha99].

Choose a fixed base point $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_\kappa$. For $r \geq 0$, denote by D_r the set of points in $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$ that lie at most a distance r from x_0 . We will refer to D_r as the *disc of radius r* in $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$.

Recall that, for $\kappa \neq 0$,

$$P_{D_r} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sin(\sqrt{\kappa}r) \quad \text{and} \quad A_{D_r} = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa} (1 - \cos(\sqrt{\kappa}r)). \quad (5)$$

See, for example, [Sti92, p. 85]. The limiting cases as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ yield the Euclidean formulas $P_{D_r} = 2\pi r$ and $A_{D_r} = \pi r^2$.

Theorem 1.1 leads in turn to the following version of Hadwiger's containment theorem for convex subsets of surfaces [Had41a, Had41b, KR97, San76].

Theorem 1.2 (Hadwiger's Containment Theorem) *Let $K, L \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X}_\kappa)$ with non-empty interiors. If*

$$P_K P_L \leq 2\pi(A_K + A_L) - \kappa A_K A_L, \quad (6)$$

then there exists an isometry g of $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$ such that either $gK \subseteq L$ or $gL \subseteq K$.

Proof: First, consider the case in which K and L are convex *polygons* in $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$. Suppose that, for every isometry g , we have $gK \not\subseteq \text{int}(L)$ and $gL \not\subseteq \text{int}(K)$. In this instance, whenever the K and gL overlap, the boundary intersection ∂K and ∂L will consist of a discrete set of 2 or more points (except for a measure zero set of motions g). In other words, for almost all isometries g ,

$$\chi_{\partial K \cap g\partial L} \geq 2\chi_{K \cap gL}.$$

On integrating both sides with respect to g , it follows from the kinematic formula (3) that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} P_{\partial K} P_{\partial L} \geq 2 \left(A_K + \frac{1}{2\pi} P_K P_L + A_L - \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} A_K A_L \right).$$

Recall that $P_{\partial K} = 2P_K$, and similarly $P_{\partial L} = 2P_L$, so that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} P_K P_L \geq A_K + \frac{1}{2\pi} P_K P_L + A_L - \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} A_K A_L.$$

Hence,

$$P_K P_L \geq 2\pi(A_K + A_L) - \kappa A_K A_L.$$

In other words, if (6) holds with *strict* inequality ($<$) then there exists an isometry g such that either $gK \subseteq \text{int}(L)$ or $gL \subseteq \text{int}(K)$. Since the set $\{(K, L, g) \mid gK \subseteq L \text{ or } gL \subseteq K\}$ is closed in the Hausdorff topology, the theorem also holds for the case of equality in (6), as well as for compact convex sets K and L in $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$ that are not polygons. ■

We will also make use of the following elementary fact about the perimeter of compact convex subsets of $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$.

Proposition 1.3 *Suppose that $K, L \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X}_\kappa)$ and suppose that $K \subseteq L$. Then $P_K \leq P_L$.*

In other words, perimeter is monotonic on compact convex sets in $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$.

Evidently Proposition 1.3 is not true for arbitrary (non-convex) sets. Nor does it hold for convex-like subsets of the sphere that do not lie inside an open hemisphere.

Proof: Suppose that $\kappa \leq 0$ (so that we consider the Euclidean or hyperbolic plane). Let N be a line segment of length d , so that $P_N = 2d$. Note that $\chi_{N \cap K} = 1$ if and only if $N \cap K \neq \emptyset$; otherwise

$\chi_{N \cap K} = 0$. Moreover, $\chi_{N \cap K} \leq \chi_{N \cap L}$ since $K \subseteq L$. On averaging over all motions of N , the kinematic formula, Theorem 1.1, implies that

$$A_K + \frac{1}{2\pi} P_N P_K \leq A_L + \frac{1}{2\pi} P_N P_L,$$

so that

$$\frac{A_K}{2d} + \frac{1}{2\pi} P_K \leq \frac{A_L}{2d} + \frac{1}{2\pi} P_L,$$

for all $d > 0$. Taking the limit as $d \rightarrow \infty$ yields $P_K \leq P_L$.

For $\kappa > 0$ (the Euclidean sphere) replace the line segment N with a great circle C . Recall that convex sets in the sphere are required to lie inside an open hemisphere, so that $\chi_{C \cap K} = 1$ if and only if $C \cap K \neq \emptyset$, and $\chi_{C \cap K} \leq \chi_{C \cap L}$ whenever $K \subseteq L$. Because $\chi_C = A_C = 0$, the kinematic formula of Theorem 1.1 implies that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} P_C P_K \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} P_C P_L,$$

so that $P_K \leq P_L$ once again. ■

After setting $\kappa = 0$ in Theorem 1.2, a kinematic proof of the classical Bonnesen-type inequality (1) is straightforward.

Proof of the inequality (1): If K is a disc, then both sides of the inequality (1) are equal to zero.

Suppose that $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is not a disc, so that $r_K < R_K$. For $r_K < \epsilon < R_K$ we can apply Theorem 1.2 to K and the disc D_ϵ to obtain

$$P_K P_{D_\epsilon} > 2\pi(A_K + A_{D_\epsilon}).$$

It follows that

$$P_K 2\pi\epsilon > 2\pi(A_K + \pi\epsilon^2).$$

In other words,

$$f(\epsilon) = -\pi\epsilon^2 + \epsilon P_K - A_K > 0,$$

for all $\epsilon \in (r_K, R_K)$. Since the leading coefficient of the quadratic polynomial $f(\epsilon)$ is negative, it follows that f has two distinct roots, separated by the interval (r_K, R_K) .

Hence, $\delta(f)/\pi^2 \geq (R_K - r_K)^2$, where $\delta(f)$ is the discriminant of f . In other words,

$$P_K^2 - 4\pi A_K \geq \pi^2 (R_K - r_K)^2.$$

■

2 Isoperimetry in $\mathbb{S}^2$

In this section we consider the case $\mathbb{X}_\kappa$ for $\kappa > 0$. For simplicity of notation, we first consider the case $\kappa = 1$. A restatement of the main results for general constant curvature $\kappa > 0$ is then given at the end of the section; the proofs are entirely analogous to the case $\kappa = 1$.

Denote by $\mathbb{S}^2$ the Euclidean unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3$. For $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ define the *circumradius* R_K to be the greatest lower bound of all radii R such that some spherical disc of radius R contains K . Similarly, define the *inradius* r_K to be the least upper bound of all radii r such that K contains a spherical disc (i.e. spherical cap) of radius r . Evidently $r_K \leq R_K$, with equality if and only if K is a spherical disc. Our restriction that a convex set must always lie in an open hemisphere implies that $R_K < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

We will use Theorem 1.2 to prove the following Bonnesen-type inequality for the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$.

Theorem 2.1 (Bonnesen-type inequality for $\mathbb{S}^2$) Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}^2)$. Then

$$P_K^2 - A_K(4\pi - A_K) \geq \frac{(\sin R_K - \sin r_K)^2((2\pi - A_K)^2 + P_K^2)^2}{4(2\pi - A_K)^2} \quad (7)$$

The inequality (7) has the following simplification that also provides equality conditions.

Corollary 2.2 (Simplified Bonnesen-type inequality for $\mathbb{S}^2$) Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}^2)$. Then

$$P_K^2 - A_K(4\pi - A_K) \geq \frac{1}{4}(\sin R_K - \sin r_K)^2(2\pi - A_K)^2. \quad (8)$$

with equality if and only if K is a spherical disc.

Proof of Corollary 2.2: Since $P_K^2 \geq 0$,

$$\frac{(\sin R_K - \sin r_K)^2((2\pi - A_K)^2 + P_K^2)^2}{4(2\pi - A_K)^2} \geq \frac{1}{4}(\sin R_K - \sin r_K)^2(2\pi - A_K)^2. \quad (9)$$

The inequality (8) now follows from (7) and (9).

Equality holds in (8) and (9) if and only if either $P_K = 0$, in which case K is a single point, or if $R_K = r_K$, in which case K must be a spherical disc. ■

The right-hand sides of (7) and (8) are always non-negative and are equal to zero if and only if $R_K = r_K$, that is, if and only if K is a disc. These observations yield the following classical result as an immediate corollary.

Corollary 2.3 (Isoperimetric inequality for $\mathbb{S}^2$) For $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}^2)$,

$$P_K^2 \geq A_K(4\pi - A_K),$$

with equality if and only if K is a spherical disc.

Note that the complement K' of K in $\mathbb{S}^2$, while not convex according to our definition, has the same boundary and perimeter as K , while the inradius and circumradius exchange roles. Meanwhile, $A_{K'} + A_K = 4\pi$, so that Corollaries 2.2 and 2.3 are transformed as follows.

Corollary 2.4 (Alternate simplified Bonnesen-type inequality for $\mathbb{S}^2$) Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}^2)$. Then

$$P_K^2 - A_K A_{K'} \geq \frac{1}{16}(\sin R_K - \sin r_K)^2(A_K - A_{K'})^2, \quad (10)$$

so that, in particular,

$$P_K^2 - A_K A_{K'} \geq 0$$

with equality in both cases if and only if K is a spherical disc.

Proof of Corollary 2.4: Since $A_{K'} = 4\pi - A_K$ the left-hand sides of (8) and (10) are the same. Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned} (A_K - A_{K'})^2 &= A_K^2 + A_{K'}^2 - 2A_K A_{K'} \\ &= A_K^2 + (4\pi - A_K)^2 - 2A_K(4\pi - A_K) \\ &= 4A_K^2 - 16\pi A_K + 16\pi^2 \\ &= 4(2\pi - A_K)^2, \end{aligned}$$

so that the right-hand sides of (8) and (10) are the same as well. ■

We now prove the main inequality of this section, Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1: If K is a disc, then $R_K = r_K$, and both sides of (7) are equal to zero.

Suppose that $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ is not a disc, so that $r_K < R_K$. For $r_K < \epsilon < R_K$ we can apply Theorem 1.2 to K and the disc D_ϵ to obtain

$$P_K P_{D_\epsilon} > 2\pi(A_K + A_{D_\epsilon}) - A_K A_{D_\epsilon}$$

It follows from (5) that

$$\begin{aligned} P_K \sin \epsilon &> A_K + 2\pi(1 - \cos \epsilon) - A_K(1 - \cos \epsilon) \\ &= 2\pi(1 - \cos \epsilon) + A_K \cos \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $P = P_K$ and $A = A_K$, we have

$$P \sin \epsilon - 2\pi > (A - 2\pi) \cos \epsilon. \quad (11)$$

In order for $K \subseteq \mathbb{S}^2$ to be convex, K must be contained in a hemisphere, so that $P \leq 2\pi$. It follows that

$$P \sin \epsilon - 2\pi \leq 0,$$

so that both sides of (11) are *non-positive*. Set $x = \sin \epsilon$, so that $\cos \epsilon = \sqrt{1 - x^2}$. Squaring both sides of (11) reverses the order, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 x^2 - 4\pi P x + 4\pi^2 &< (A - 2\pi)^2 (1 - x^2) \\ &= -(2\pi - A)^2 x^2 + (4\pi^2 - 4\pi A + A^2), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$f(x) = [(2\pi - A)^2 + P^2]x^2 - 4\pi P x + (4\pi - A)A < 0, \quad (12)$$

for all $x \in (\sin r_K, \sin R_K)$.

Since K is not a disc, K is not a point, so $P_K > 0$. It follows that $(2\pi - A)^2 + P^2 \geq P^2 > 0$, so that the quadratic polynomial $f(x)$ defined by (12) has a positive leading coefficient, and $f(x) > 0$ for sufficiently large $|x|$. Since $f(x) < 0$ for $x \in (\sin r_K, \sin R_K)$, it follows that $f(x)$ has two *real* roots, and that these two roots must lie on *different sides* of the open interval $(\sin r_K, \sin R_K)$.

The discriminant $\delta(f)$ of the quadratic polynomial f is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(f) &= (4\pi P)^2 - 4((2\pi - A)^2 + P^2)(4\pi - A)A \\ &= 4[4\pi^2 P^2 - ((2\pi - A)^2 + P^2)(4\pi - A)A] \\ &= 4[4\pi^2 P^2 - 4\pi P^2 A + P^2 A^2 - (2\pi - A)^2 (4\pi - A)A] \\ &= 4[P^2(4\pi^2 - 4\pi A + A^2) - (2\pi - A)^2 (4\pi - A)A] \\ &= 4[P^2(2\pi - A)^2 - (2\pi - A)^2 (4\pi - A)A] \\ &= 4(2\pi - A)^2 (P^2 - A(4\pi - A)) \end{aligned}$$

The squared distance between the roots of a quadratic polynomial f is given by its discriminant $\delta(f)$ divided by the square of its leading coefficient. Hence,

$$\frac{4(2\pi - A)^2 (P^2 - A(4\pi - A))}{((2\pi - A)^2 + P^2)^2} \geq (\sin R_K - \sin r_K)^2. \quad (13)$$

which implies the inequality (7). ■

For the general case, denote by $\mathbb{S}_\kappa^2$ the Euclidean sphere having radius $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$ and Gauss curvature κ . In this case our restriction that a convex set must always lie in an open hemisphere implies that $R_K < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\kappa}}$. Note also that if K and K' are complements in $\mathbb{S}_\kappa^2$ then $A_K + A_{K'} = \frac{4\pi}{\kappa}$.

The inequalities of this section are now summarized in full generality. These generalized versions follow immediately from the theorems above via a scaling argument. Alternatively these more general cases can be proved in direct analogy to the proof given above for the case $\kappa = 1$.

Theorem 2.5 (Bonnesen-type inequalities for $\mathbb{S}_\kappa^2$) *Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}_\kappa^2)$, and K' denote the complement of K in $\mathbb{S}_\kappa^2$. Then the following inequalities hold:*

$$P_K^2 - A_K(4\pi - \kappa A_K) \geq \frac{(\sin \sqrt{\kappa} R_K - \sin \sqrt{\kappa} r_K)^2 ((2\pi - \kappa A_K)^2 + \kappa P_K^2)^2}{4\kappa(2\pi - \kappa A_K)^2} \quad (14)$$

$$P_K^2 - A_K(4\pi - \kappa A_K) \geq \frac{1}{4\kappa} (\sin \sqrt{\kappa} R_K - \sin \sqrt{\kappa} r_K)^2 (2\pi - \kappa A_K)^2 \quad (15)$$

$$P_K^2 \geq A_K (4\pi - \kappa A_K) \quad (16)$$

$$P_K^2 - \kappa A_K A_{K'} \geq \frac{\kappa}{16} (\sin \sqrt{\kappa} R_K - \sin \sqrt{\kappa} r_K)^2 (A_K - A_{K'})^2 \quad (17)$$

Equality holds in (15), (16), and (17) if and only if K is a spherical disc.

Note that as $\kappa \rightarrow 0^+$ the inequalities (14) and (15) of Theorem 2.5 yield the classical Bonnesen-type inequality (1) for the Euclidean plane, while (16) reduces to the classical isoperimetric inequality (2).

3 Isoperimetry in $\mathbb{H}^2$

In this section we consider the case of constant negative curvature; that is, $\kappa < 0$. To simplify notation, we first consider the case $\kappa = -1$. A restatement of the main results for general constant curvature $\kappa < 0$ is then given at the end of the section; the proofs are entirely analogous to the case $\kappa = -1$.

Let $\mathbb{H}^2$ denote the hyperbolic plane having constant negative curvature -1. For $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ define the *circumradius* R_K to be the greatest lower bound of all radii R such that some hyperbolic disc of radius R contains K . Similarly, define the *inradius* r_K to be the least upper bound of all radii r such that K contains a hyperbolic disc of radius r . Evidently $r_K \leq R_K$, with equality if and only if K is a hyperbolic disc.

The following theorem is a limited analogue of the spherical Bonnesen-type inequality of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{H}^2)$. If $(2\pi + A_K)^2 - P_K^2 \geq 0$, then*

$$P_K^2 - A_K(4\pi + A_K) \geq \frac{(\sinh R_K - \sinh r_K)^2 ((2\pi + A_K)^2 - P_K^2)^2}{4(2\pi + A_K)^2}. \quad (18)$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is deferred to the end of this section. Although (18) appears almost identical to the spherical Bonnesen inequality (7), up to change of sign in a few places, on more careful examination some other important differences appear.

Note that the inequality (18) may fail to hold if $(2\pi + A_K)^2 - P_K^2 < 0$. For example, if K is a line segment of length c , then $A_K = r_K = 0$, while $P_K = 2c$ and $R_K = c/2$. In this instance, the left-hand side of (18) is $O(c^2)$, while the right-hand side of (18) grows exponentially in c . This apparent deficiency will be addressed by Theorem 3.3.

Meanwhile, note that the condition $(2\pi + A_K)^2 - P_K^2 \geq 0$ is not as strange as it may appear, when compared carefully to the spherical case of Theorem 2.1. In the sphere we required that a convex set be contained in a hemisphere, that is, a spherical disc of radius $\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\kappa}}$. According to (5), this spherical disc satisfies

$$P_{D_{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\kappa}}}} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sin(\sqrt{\kappa} \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\kappa}}) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}},$$

since $\sin \frac{\pi}{2} = 1$. The next corollary involves an analogous assumption for the hyperbolic plane. In this context our replacement for the value $\pi/2$ will be $\eta = \sinh^{-1}(1) = \ln(1 + \sqrt{2})$.

Corollary 3.2 *Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{H}^2)$. If $K \subseteq D_\eta$, where $\sinh \eta = 1$, then*

$$P_K^2 - A_K(4\pi + A_K) \geq \frac{(\sinh R_K - \sinh r_K)^2((2\pi + A_K)^2 - P_K^2)^2}{4(2\pi + A_K)^2}.$$

Proof of Corollary 3.2: Recall from Proposition 1.3 that perimeter P_K is monotonic with respect to set inclusion when applied to *convex* sets. If $K \subseteq D_\eta$ it follows from (5) that

$$P_K \leq P_{D_\eta} = \frac{2\pi}{i} \sin(i\eta) = 2\pi \sinh \eta = 2\pi,$$

where $i^2 = -1$. It follows that

$$(2\pi + A_K)^2 - P_K^2 \geq 4\pi^2 + 4\pi A_K + A_K^2 - 4\pi^2 = 4\pi A_K + A_K^2 \geq 0,$$

so that Theorem 3.1 applies. ■

If, contrary to the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, we have $(2\pi + A_K)^2 - P_K^2 < 0$, then

$$P_K^2 - A_K(4\pi + A_K) > (2\pi + A_K)^2 - A_K(4\pi + A_K) = 4\pi^2. \quad (19)$$

Combining (19) with Theorem 3.1 yields the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3 *Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{H}^2)$. Then*

$$P_K^2 - A_K(4\pi + A_K) \geq \min \left(4\pi^2, \frac{(\sinh R_K - \sinh r_K)^2((2\pi + A_K)^2 - P_K^2)^2}{4(2\pi + A_K)^2} \right) \quad (20)$$

The right hand side of (20) is always non-negative and is equal to zero if and only if $R_K = r_K$, that is, if and only if K is a disc. These observations yield the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4 (Isoperimetric inequality for $\mathbb{H}^2$) *For $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{H}^2)$,*

$$P_K^2 \geq A_K(4\pi + A_K).$$

Equality holds if and only if K is a hyperbolic disc.

Proof of Theorem 3.1: If K is a disc, then $R_K = r_K$ and both sides of (18) are equal to zero.

Suppose that $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ is not a disc, so that $r_K < R_K$. For $r_K < \epsilon < R_K$ we can apply Theorem 1.2 to K and the disc D_ϵ to obtain

$$P_K P_{D_\epsilon} > 2\pi(A_K + A_{D_\epsilon}) + A_K A_{D_\epsilon}.$$

It follows from (5) that

$$P_K \sinh \epsilon > A_K + 2\pi(\cosh \epsilon - 1) + A_K(\cosh \epsilon - 1),$$

so that

$$P_K \sinh \epsilon + 2\pi > (2\pi + A_K) \cosh \epsilon. \quad (21)$$

Set $x = \sinh \epsilon$, so that $\cosh \epsilon = \sqrt{1 + x^2}$. To simplify the notation, let $P = P_K$ and $A = A_K$. Since the right hand side of (21) is positive, we can square both sides of (21) to obtain

$$P^2 x^2 + 4\pi P x + 4\pi^2 > (2\pi + A)^2 (1 + x^2) = (2\pi + A)^2 x^2 + (4\pi^2 + 4\pi A + A^2),$$

so that

$$f(x) = [P^2 - (2\pi + A)^2]x^2 + 4\pi P x - (4\pi + A)A > 0, \quad (22)$$

for all $x \in (\sinh r_K, \sinh R_K)$.

Recall that, by the hypothesis of the theorem, $P^2 \leq (2\pi + A)^2$.

If $P^2 < (2\pi + A)^2$, then the quadratic polynomial $f(x)$ defined by (22) has a negative leading coefficient, so that $f(x) < 0$ for sufficiently large $|x|$. Since $f(x) > 0$ for $x \in (\sinh r_K, \sinh R_K)$, it follows that $f(x)$ has two *real* roots, and that these two roots must lie on *different sides* of the open interval $(\sinh r_K, \sinh R_K)$.

The discriminant $\delta(f)$ of f is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(f) &= (4\pi P)^2 + 4(P^2 - (2\pi + A)^2)(4\pi + A)A \\ &= 4[4\pi^2 P^2 + (P^2 - (2\pi + A)^2)(4\pi + A)A] \\ &= 4[4\pi^2 P^2 + 4\pi P^2 A + P^2 A^2 - (2\pi + A)^2(4\pi + A)A] \\ &= 4[P^2(4\pi^2 + 4\pi A + A^2) - (2\pi + A)^2(4\pi + A)A] \\ &= 4[P^2(2\pi + A)^2 - (2\pi + A)^2(4\pi + A)A] \\ &= 4(2\pi + A)^2(P^2 - A(4\pi + A)) \end{aligned}$$

The squared distance between the roots of a quadratic polynomial f is given by its discriminant $\delta(f)$ divided by the square of its leading coefficient. Therefore,

$$\frac{4(2\pi + A)^2(P^2 - A(4\pi + A))}{((2\pi + A)^2 - P^2)^2} \geq (\sinh R_K - \sinh r_K)^2,$$

from which (18) then follows.

Finally, if $P^2 = (2\pi + A)^2$, then the right-hand side of (18) is zero, while the left-hand side satisfies

$$P^2 - A(4\pi + A) = (P^2 - A(4\pi + A)) = (2\pi + A)^2 - A(4\pi + A) = 4\pi^2 > 0.$$

■

For the general case of constant negative curvature $\kappa < 0$, let $\lambda = |\kappa|$, and let $\mathbb{H}_\lambda^2$ denote the hyperbolic plane having Gauss curvature $-\lambda$.

The inequalities of this section are now summarized in full generality. These generalized versions follow immediately from the theorems above via a scaling argument. Alternatively these more general cases can be proved in direct analogy to the proof given above for the case $\kappa = -1$ (that is, $\lambda = 1$).

Theorem 3.5 Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{H}_\lambda^2)$. If $(2\pi + \lambda A_K)^2 - \lambda P_K^2 \geq 0$, then

$$P_K^2 - A_K(4\pi + \lambda A_K) \geq \frac{(\sinh \sqrt{\lambda} R_K - \sinh \sqrt{\lambda} r_K)^2 ((2\pi + \lambda A_K)^2 - \lambda P_K^2)^2}{4\lambda(2\pi + \lambda A_K)^2}. \quad (23)$$

More generally, if $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{H}_\lambda^2)$ then

$$P_K^2 - A_K(4\pi + \lambda A_K) \geq \min \left(\frac{4\pi^2}{\lambda}, \frac{(\sinh \sqrt{\lambda} R_K - \sinh \sqrt{\lambda} r_K)^2 ((2\pi + \lambda A_K)^2 - \lambda P_K^2)^2}{4\lambda(2\pi + \lambda A_K)^2} \right)$$

In particular, if $K \subseteq D_{\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\lambda}}}$, where $\eta = \ln(1 + \sqrt{2})$; i.e., where $\sinh \eta = 1$, then the inequality (23) holds. More generally, for all $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{H}_\lambda^2)$,

$$P_K^2 \geq A_K(4\pi + \lambda A_K), \quad (24)$$

where equality holds if and only if K is a hyperbolic disc.

In analogy to the spherical case, if we let $\kappa \rightarrow 0^-$, so that $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$, then the inequalities of Theorem 3.5 yield the classical Bonnesen-type inequality (1) for the Euclidean plane, while (24) reduces to the classical isoperimetric inequality (2).

Remark: The kinematic approach to isoperimetric inequalities also leads to generalizations of (1) for the mixed area $A(K, L)$ of compact convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$ [San76, Sch93]. This mixed area arises in the computation of the area of the Minkowski sum $K + L$, which is itself a convolution integral of functions with respect to the translative group for $\mathbb{R}^2$. Although the surfaces $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{H}^2$ do not admit a subgroup of isometries analogous to the translations of $\mathbb{R}^2$, it may prove worthwhile to consider convolutions over other subgroups of isometries, leading to associated kinematic formulas, containment theorems, and Bonnesen-type isoperimetric inequalities. Bonnesen-type inequalities in higher dimensions remain elusive, but perhaps recent generalizations of Hadwiger's containment theorem to dimensions greater than 2, such as those of Zhou [Zho94, Zho98], may be helpful in developing discriminant inequalities in higher dimension similar to those presented in this article.

References

- [Bon24] T. Bonnesen. Über das isoperimetrische Defizit ebener Figuren. *Math. Ann.*, 91:252–268, 1924
- [Fu90] J. H. G. Fu. Kinematic formulas in integral geometry. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 39:1115–1154, 1990.
- [Had41a] H. Hadwiger. Überdeckung ebener Bereiche durch Kreise und Quadrate. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 13:195–200, 1941
- [Had41b] H. Hadwiger. Gegenseitige Bedeckbarkeit zweier Eibereiche und Isoperimetrie. *Vierteljschr. Naturforsch. Gesellsch. Zürich*, 86:152–156, 1941
- [How93] R. Howard. The kinematic formula in Riemannian homogeneous spaces. *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, 509, 1993.
- [Kla03] D. Klain. Isometry invariant valuations on hyperbolic space. *preprint*, 2003.
- [KR97] D. Klain and G.-C. Rota. *Introduction to Geometric Probability*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1997.
- [Oss79] R. Osserman. Bonnesen-style isoperimetric inequalities. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 86:1–29, 1979.

- [San76] L. A. Santaló. *Integral Geometry and Geometric Probability*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1976.
- [Sch93] R. Schneider. *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1993.
- [Sti92] J. Stillwell. *Geometry of Surfaces*. Springer Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [SW93] R. Schneider and J. Wieacker. Integral geometry. In P. Gruber and J. M. Wills, editors, *Handbook of Convex Geometry*, pages 1349–1390. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [Zha99] G. Zhang. Dual kinematic formulas. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 351:985–995, 1999.
- [Zho94] J. Zhou. The sufficient condition for a convex body to contain another in $\mathbb{R}^4$,. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 121:907–913, 1994.
- [Zho98] J. Zhou. Sufficient conditions for one domain to contain another in a space of constant curvature. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126:2797–2803, 1998.